62210

U. S. COAST & GEODETIC SURVEY LIBRARY AND ARCHIVES

WAR 2 1935

							•		
Acc.	No.	 -	-	-	•	•	• •		•
		 -	-	•	_	-	_	_	_

F	orm	504
다리	Turne	1070

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY R.S. Patton, Director

State: New Jersey

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

Topographic Hydrygxaphic Sheet No.

LOCALITY

Raritan River and Arthur Kill

Vicinity of Perth Amboy

193 4

CHIEF OF PARTY

E. R. LcCarthy

TOPOGRAPHIC TITLE SHEET

The Topographic Sheet should be accompanied by this form, filled in as completely as possible, when the sheet is forwarded to the Office.

Field No. G. 62213

REGISTER NO.

State NEW JERSEY & NEW YORK
State NEW JERSEY & NEW YORK & Arthur Kill General locality RARITAN RIVER FROM ITS MOUNT TO FINE PARTY.
Locality Vicinity of Perth Amboy Lagie
Scale 1:5,000 Date of survey October , 19.34
Vessel Field Party No. 14
Chief of partyLieut. E. R. McCarthy
Surveyed by J. R. Brosnan
Inked by J. R. Brosnan
Heights in feet aboveto ground to tops of trees
Contour, Approximate contour, Form line intervalfeet
Instructions dated
Remarks:

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY R. S. PATTON, DIRECTOR

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

TO ACCOMPANY

TOPOGRAPHIC SHEET NO. G.

NEW JERSEY

Party No. 14

E. R. McCarthy, Lieut.(j.g.) C&GS, Chief of Party.

DESCRIPTIVE REPORT TO ACCOMPANY TOPOGRAPHIC SHEET NO. G.

AUTHORITY:

Instructions of the Director dated May 10, 1934.

LIMITS:

Raritan River from its mouth to the Pennsylvania Railroad Bridge and Arthur Kill from its mouth to Latitude 25° 51. Includes a small section of Raritan Bay south of the Sunoco Oil Company docks.

METHODS & CLOSURES:

All stations were located by graphic triangulation except station General Cable (USE) and R & H (USE). Two sets of sextant angles were taken at each of these stations. Short traverses were run. These traverses were checked by frequent re-sections and no adjustments were necessary.

PURPOSE:

This sheet was executed on 5,000 scale as a check on the air-photo compilation as the stations located were identical with those spotted on the photographs by the air-photo compilation party of Lieut. R. C. Bolstad.

DESCRIPTION OF COAST:

The south shore of Raritan Bay is developed with coal loading elevators, power plants, barge racks and loading docks. Arthur Kill which is an important waterway, is developed on the west side (Perth Amboy) with manufacturing plants, coal elevators, barge racks and marine railways. The east shore of this waterway is developed with small wharves and marine railways with a small amount of sand beach on the southern end.

TOWNS & BOROS:

SOUTH AMBOY:

South Amboy is on the south shore of Raritan Bay at the mouth of the Raritan River and is important commercially for the shipment of coal, petroleum and building material.

PERTH AMBOY:

Perth Amboy is a manufacturing town located excellently for shipping by boats, railway or motor. A ferry and a bridge connects Perth Amboy to Staten Island. The water front is lined

with docks but in recent years, due to a decline in business, these docks have run down and are in need of repairs. There is a drydock here capable of hauling out boats to a size of large sea-going tugs.

TOTENVILLE:

A few small marine railways are located here. The town is largely residential.

U. S. ENGINEERS SURVEYS:

The control of the U. S. Engineers consists of a system of traverse and triangulation along both banks of the Kill, which was originally put down about 1912 and has been revised, added to, and - in sections - re-run at irregular intervals up to the present time. The greater part of it comprises a base line for control of their hydrographic surveys.

Some of the base line stations are monumented with permanent concrete marks and the sounding stations - usually on piers and docks - located from base line monuments. The sounding stations are marked with nails or tacks or wooden hubs and are not intended for permanency.

Some of the base line stations were located by the 1932 triangulation survey and some additional base line monuments and all the sounding stations that bould be recovered by topography.

The co-ordinate system of the engineers was plotted on the sheet by assuming that the co-ordinates of the station Mon 6A (USE) was correct as given and then making a projection by drawing in the two thousand five hundred (2,500) foot intervals perpendicular to and parallel to the latitudes.

A number of engineer stations located by triangulation or topography were then plotted by co-ordinates and the following differences noted:

WEST BANK -- ARTHUR KILL

Rack (USE)
-l meter (N), 1 meter (W) of true station

General Cable (USE)-No error.

Dry Dock (USE)
-2.5 meters (N), 1 meter (W) of true station

R & H (USE)
-l meter (N), 1 meter (W) of true station

Chemical (USE)
-l meter (N), 1 meter (W) of true station

Mercantile (USE)
-No error (N&S), 1 meter (W) of true station

WEST BANK - ARTHUR KILL (Continued)

Texaco (USE)	3 meters (N), No error (E&W) of true station
High (USE)	 5 meters (N), 5.3 meters (W) of true station 5 meters (N), 6 meters (W) of true station
RNR 2 (USE)	 5 meters (N), 6 meters (W) of true station
Sunoco (USE)	 5 meters (N), 8 meters (W) of true station
Sunoil (USE)	 3.2 meters (N), 9 meters (W) of true station

EAST BANK - ARTHUR KILL

Bentley (USE) NIRA (USE)	 0.5 meters 1.5 meters 1.0 meters	(S),	0.5 meters	(W)	of	true	station
NIRA (USE)	 1.5 meters	(s),	2.5 meters	(W)	of	true	station
Willow	 1.0 meters	(s).	0.5 meters	(W)	\mathbf{of}	true	station

AIR-PHOTO COMPILATION:

The primary purpose of Sheet "G", scale (1:5,000) was to test the accuracy of the location of signals by air-photo compilation methods.

The topographic party of 1934 recovered a large number of the points located by the air-photo compilation party and located them by graphic triangulation with practically no error. Discrepancies between the two locations (topographic and compilation) are shown on the following table. It will be noted that the average error is 1.7 meters, the average N & S error is 0.63 meters and the average E & W error is 1.6 meters.

LANDMARKS:

List of landmarks is attached.

SHORELINE:

All shoreline shown in pencil was taken from blueprints furnished by the air-photo office.

Respectfully submitted,

J. R. Brosnan,

Topographer, C & G Survey.

Approved & Forwarded:

E. R. McCarthy, Lieut.(j.g.) C&GS, Chief of Party.

SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT OF CHIEF OF PARTY:

The accuracy of the air photo compilation methods as shown by the survey is not better than an average of 1.7 meters. This value for a scale of 1:5,000 and considering the large amount of control seems high.

The maximum difference is five moters and the minimum difference zero. The corrections are both plus and minus indicating that there is no systematic error in compilation.

The sheet does not constitute an absolute test on the accuracy of air-photo compilation methods as the original photographs were taken on a 1:24,000 scale and enlarged to a 1:5,000.

Respectfully, submitted,

Ex me Canty

E. R. McCarthy, Lieut.(j.g.) C&GS, Chief of Party.

See Review of T-5109 (Air Photo Compilation)

COMPARATIVE VALUES OF POSITIONS AS DETERMINED BY GRAPHICAL CONTROL AND AIR-PHOTO TOPOGRAPHIC METHODS

Name	Lat o	itud '	e 1	D.M. Meters	A.P	• 1	Erro	r Lo	ngit	ude	D.P. Metera	A.P.	Err	or Re	900/A.1	rks
Sunoil (USE)	40	29	û0	243	243		0	74	16	50	126	121	- 5			
Sunoco (USE)	40	29	00	595´	592	-	3	74	16	00	460	459	- 1	-	·	
Penn. (USE)	40	29	00	717 ′	715	-	2	74	16	00	549	550	/ 1			
Penn. sub (USE)	40	29	00	714	714		0	74	16	00	572 ′	57 5	/ 1			
High (USE)	40	29	30	878	838		0	74	16	00	183	185	/ 2			
New Ferry sub (USE)	40	29	30	(46)	(46)		0	74	15	00	237	24 2	/ 5			
Mercantile (USE)	40	30	00	760	763	£	3	74	15	30	314	314	o			
Texaco (USE)	40	30	00	332 [/]	532		Ū	74	16-	50	578 [′]	57 8	0			
Perth (USE)	40	30	00	36 [′]	36		0	74	15	30	655	657	/ 2			
N.I.R.A. (USE)	40	50	00	828	828		0	74	15	90	(222)	(224)	/ 2			
Mon Willow (USE)	40	30	00	426	425	-	1	74	15	00	(226)	(226)	0		•	
Chemical (USE)	40	30	50	165	164	-	1	74	15	30	181′	182	<i>f</i> 1			
Dry Dock (USE)	40	30	30	3 4 0 /	34 0		0	74	16	30	98	101	≠ 3			
Public Service	40	30	30	664	663	-	1	74	15	00	(26)	(24)	- 2			
(USE)	40	31	00	922	922		0	74	15	00	527	527	0			
Battery (USE)	40	29		(411)				74	14		(75)			10000) sc	ele
Wards Pt.(USE)	40	29	30	605				74	16	00	111			10000) ^{II}	ı

Average error both directions = $\sqrt{(0.65)^2 + (1.6)^2} = \sqrt{2.95} = 1.72 \text{ M}_{\odot}$

3

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

LANDMARKS FOR CHARTS

	NON-	-Floatin	G AIDS T	O NAVIGA	PION 	ami, Pla	-
				:		Fahruary	
DIRECTOR, U.S. COAST AND GEO	ODETIC SURV	EY:				t-antarit A	, 1770
The following determined description given below, and s	d objects a should be cl	re promin narted:	ent, can b	e readily d	istinguis! \	hed from s	seaward from the
,					E	. R. McC	o rthy Chief of Party.
		<u>-</u>	=		<u> </u>	1'	Chief of Party.
			POSITION		· <u>,</u>	METHOD	CHARTE
DESCRIPTION	LATIT	.nog	LONG	ITUDE .	DATUM	METHOD OF DETER- MINATION	CHARTS AFFECTED
	0 1	D.M. METERS	0 1	D.P. METERS	 -		,
Beacon (New)	40 29	1660	<u>'74 1</u> 5	996	1927	Topo	369,375,286
Grant Beds Bn. L.H.	40 29	356-6	74_15	293.5	1927	Trian	369,375,286
Desnon Ho. 1	40 29	235.7	74 16	875.5	1927	Triàn	800 886 000
				1	1 1 m		369,875,286
Reacon No. 5A	40 29	1349.8	74 16	175.8	1927	Trian	369,375,286
the state of the s	<u> </u>	: Vene	or 1-				
1	1/ 1	1				Ì	
		. ;					
, 	 ,					1	
	ļ	<u> </u>					
		<u> </u>					
17						Į	
	1						
	 	•		ļ		· 	
		<u> </u>		,			<u> </u>
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·]	
1		,					
	 					-	

A list of objects carefully selected because of their value as landmarks as determined from seaward, together with individual descriptions, must be furnished in a special report on this form, and a copy of such report must be attached by the Chief of Party to his descriptive report.

The selection, determination, and description of these points are an important factor in the value of the chart. Landmarks selected at appropriate intervals can be clearly charted. However, when none is outstanding, a group of two or three bjects may by their interrelationship provide positive indentification. A group so selected should be indicated.

The description of each object should be short, but such as will clearly identify it; for example, a standpipe, elevated tank, gas tank, church spire, tall stack, red chimney, radio mast, etc. Assign numerals to landmarks to indicate: (1) Offshore, (2) inshore, (3) harbor, 1, 2, 3 would be a mark useful on all charts. Generally, flagstaffs and like objects are not sufficiently permanent to chart. permanent to chart. U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1934 25379

Date of Review

- 1. This survey has been reviewed in connection with Air Photo Compilation Nos. T-509, , with particular attention to the following details:
 - (a) Projection has been checked in the Field.
 - (b) Accuracy of location of plane table control points.
 - (c) Discrepancies between detail on this survey and the air photo compilations listed above.
 - (d) Discrepancies found in descriptions submitted on Form 524 when compared with the air photo compilations listed above.
- 2. Refer to the reviews and descriptive reports of air photo compilations Nos. T-109, , , for a more complete discussion of any errors or discrepancies found.

Any material errors found on this survey are noted in subsequent paragraphs of this review, and these have been reported to the Field Records Section and the Cartographic Section.

Notes and corrections resulting from the review are shown on this survey in green.

O Flagpole & O Perth sejected

Described stations Chemical, Du Dock Sexaco, High Sunoil, Rack,

Public Server, Sunoco, & Sebitch House are file under T-5109

Cablic Server, Semoco, & Sebitch House are file under T-5109

Frank 9. Enshine

6221b

U. S. COAST & GEODETIC SURVEY LIGRARY AND ARCHIVES

WAR 2 1935

Acc. No.

Form 504 Ed. June, 1928 DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U. S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY R*S. Pattonictor
State: New Jersey
DESCRIPTIVE REPORT Topographic Sheet No. GG
LOCALITY
The South River
Sayerville to Old Bridge
19.34
CHIEF OF PARTY
E. R. McCarthy

32216

TOPOGRAPHIC TITLE SHEET

The Topographic Sheet should be accompanied by this form, filled in as completely as possible, when the sheet is forwarded to the Office.

Field No. G G. REGISTER NO. 6221 b

State New Jersey
General locality South River
Locality Sayerville to Old Bridge
Scale 1:10,000 Date of survey October 1934
Vessel Field Party No. 14
Chief of party E. R. McCarthy
Surveyed by A. E. Durie
Inked by A. E. Durie and S. M. Green Jr.
Heights in feet aboveto ground to tops of tree
Contour, Approximate contour, Form line intervalfeet
Instructions dated May 10 19 3
Remarks:
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Descriptive Report to accompany Topographic Sheet GG

AUTHORITY:

Instructions of the Director dated May 10,1934.

LIMITS:

The South River, Sayerville to Old Bridge.

CONTROL:

Ample control stations well distributed over the sheet from 1932 - 34 triangulation.

METHODS:

All topographic stations were located by plane table cuts. Shoreline was located only where needed to supplement the aerial photo topography. A short dead end traverse was run to locate the tanks and stacks at Old Bridge.

DESCRIPTION OF SHORELINE:

The South River shoreline in general is a narrow fringe of marsh and grass backed by clay banks. There are many brick and tile manufacturing plants located on both sides of the river, most of which have been out of operation for several years and at present are more or less in a dilapidated condition.

BOROS:

Old Bridge was the only boro within the surveyed limits of the sheet. It is small and is the location of a few manufacturing plants (breweries, sand and gravel firms).

U. S. ENGINEERS SURVEYS:

The engineers control on the South River is a dead end traverse which began at RnR 16 and came to a dead end at Old Bridge. Some of the base stations tied into the traverse were located by triangulation, but no attempt made to compute the traverse.

The Original control was a triangulation survey by the Port Raritan Commission in 1928. Very little information concerning it is available and the stations established in 1934

U. S. ENGINEERS SURVEYS: (CON'T)

should serve as control for the U. S. Engineers Surveys.

None of the Engineers stations were permanently marked.

LANDMARKS:

List of landmarks is attached.

Respectfully Submitted. .

A.G. Durie

A. E. Durie, Surveyor, U. S. C. & G. Survey, Topographer.

Approved and Forwarded:

E. R. McCarthy, Chief of Party No.14

U. S. C. & G.Survey.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY

LANDMARKS FOR CHARTS

	 Mami, Florida		
	February	26	_, 193 5
Dynamon II C Colon (and Gronyma Serromy)			

DIRECTOR, U.S. COAST AND GEODETIC SURVEY:

The following determined objects are prominent, can be readily distinguished from seaward from the Land description given below, and should be charted:

				_		E. R. 1	ccarth	y	Chief of Party.
DESCRIPTION									
		LATI	TUDE		LONG	ITUDE	II.A.	METHOD OF DETER- MINATION	CHARTS AFFECTED
	۰	1	D.M. METERS		1	D.P. METERS	1927		
SPIRE [Trian.Catholic Ch.	40	27	904.7	74	21	908.9	n.	Trian.	
Sayerville 1952)									
TANK (MIEW) blk. (Tri. Tank No. 1 dercules Powder Co. 1952)	40	27	351.7	74	20	366.9	12	Trian	
TOWER open metal (Tri Tower, Hercules Pwd.	40	27	152.5	74	20	587.5	ù	Trian	
TANK (ELEV) blk. (Tri. Hercules 1934)	40	27	53.7	74	20	348.0	n.	Trion	
SPIRE, green (Tri.St.Larys Cath.Ch.193	2)4(26	1836.8	74	22	978.2	17	Trian	
DOME, green (Tri-Dome Greek Ch.1932)	40	26	1220.6	74	22	579.3	ri ri	Trian	
STACK blk. metal (Tri.Stack-Concrete Prod.)40	26	754.5	74	21	1030.5	Th	Trian.	
STACK, Yel. brick Tri. Tall Stack-O.B. Wate	r)4	25	1040.4	74	20	418.7	11	Trian.	
Works 1934)					•			.	
STACK blk metal Tri-Letal stack-Dastern		25	918.5	74	21	452.4	11	Trian	
(00. 34	L)								
TANK (ELEV) (Old Bridge 1934)	4(25	204.4	74	21	754.6	n	Trian.	
) 				<u> </u>	-
	<u> </u>				_	 			

A list of objects carefully selected because of their value as landmarks as determined from seaward, together with indi-

A list of objects carefully selected because of their value as landmarks as determined from seaward, together with individual descriptions, must be furnished in a special report on this form, and a copy of such report must be attached by the Chief of Party to his descriptive report.

The selection, determination, and description of these points are an important factor in the value of the chart. Landmarks selected at appropriate intervals can be clearly charted. However, when none is outstanding, a group of two or three objects may by their interrelationship provide positive indentification. A group so selected should be indicated.

The description of each object should be short, but such as will clearly identify it; for example, a standpipe, elevated tank, gas tank, church spire, tall stack, red chimney, radio mast, etc. Assign numerals to landmarks to indicate: (1) Offshore, (2) inshore, (3) harbor, 1, 2, 3 would be a mark useful on all charts. Generally, flagstaffs and like objects are not sufficiently permanent to chart.

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1924 25379

REVIEW OF GRAPHIC CONTROL SURVEY T-621/6 SCALE //0, 000

Date of Review

- 1. This survey has been reviewed in connection with Air Photo Compilation Nos. $T=\mathcal{J}/\phi\beta$, with particular attention to the following details:
 - / (a) Projection has been checked in the Field.
 - (b) Accuracy of location of plane table control points.
 - (c) Discrepancies between detail on this survey and the air photo compilations listed above.
 - (d) Discrepancies found in descriptions submitted on Form 524 when compared with the air photo compilations listed above.
- 2. Refer to the reviews and descriptive reports of air photo compilations Nos. T-5/0.3, for a more complete discussion of any errors or discrepancies found.

Any material errors found on this survey are noted in subsequent paragraphs of this review, and these have been reported to the Field Records Section and the Cartographic Section.

Notes and corrections resulting from the review are shown on this survey in green.

13 ggv nes